

Office of the President of the Philippines



GOVERNANCE COMMISSION FOR GOVERNMENT OWNED OR CONTROLLED CORPORATIONS 3/F, Citibank Center, 8741 Paseo De Roxas, Makati City, Philippines 1226



09 July 2019

MR. EMMANUEL F. PIÑOL Secretary, Department of Agriculture and NFA Council Chairperson ATTY. JUDY CAROL L. DANSAL Administrator NATIONAL FOOD AUTHORITY (NFA) Philippine Sugar Center Building, North Avenue, Diliman, Quezon City

RE: VALIDATION RESULT OF 2018 PERFORMANCE SCORECARD OF NFA

Dear Secretary Piñol and Administrator Dansal

This is to formally transmit the validation result of NFA's 2018 Performance Scorecard. Based on the validation of documentary submissions, NFA gained an overall score of **59.47%** (See **Annex A**). The same is to be posted in NFA's website, in accordance with Section 43 of GCG Memorandum Circular (M.C.) No. 2012-07¹.

In line with Item 2 of GCG M.C. No. 2017-01², particularly the achievement of a weighted-average score of at least 90% in its 2018 Performance Scorecard, NFA is ineligible to grant the Performance Based Bonus (PBB) to its officers and employees. In this regard, the Board is reminded that any unilateral action to release the PBB will be considered as a violation of the Board's fiduciary duty to protect the assets of the GOCC as provided under Section 19 of Republic Act No. 10149³.

Consequently, pursuant to GCG M.C. No. 2016-01⁴, failure to qualify for PBB means that the Appointive Members of the Governing Board of NFA shall not be qualified to receive the Performance-Based Incentive (PBI).

FOR YOUR INFORMATION AND GUIDANCE.

Very truly yours, SAMUEL G. DAGPIN. Chairma MICHAEL P. CLORIBEL MARITES C. Commissioner Commissibne cc: COA Resident Auditor - NFA

- ¹ Code of Corporate Governance for GOCCs, dated 28 November 2012.
- ² Interim Performance-Based Bonus, dated 09 June 2017.
- ³ GOCC Governance Act of 2011.

⁴ Compensation Framework for Members of the GOCC Governing Boards, dated 10 May 2016.

NATIONAL FOOD AUTHORITY (NFA) Validation Result of 2018 Performance Scorecard

	т зант 		Compone	nt		e same serena e al	NFA Subm	ission	GCG Valida	ation	Summertine	
	Object	tive/Measure	Formula	Weight	Rating Scale	Target	Actual		Actual	Rating	Supporting Documents	GCG Remarks
	SO 1	Ensure Food Sec	curity									
SOCIAL IMPACT	SM 1	Buffer Stocks Maintained	Sum of the Days to Last (DTL) per month / 12	15%	All or Nothing	15 Days	2.13 Days	0%	2.13 Days	0%	Monitoring and Compliance Report for Procurement in the National and Regional Level Random Sampling: NFA Provincial Offices (Regions III, VIII and X) Emails and Inventory Reports Updated Daily Consumption Requirement and NFA Food Security Stocks 2018 Average Annual Per Capita Consumption of Rice (by Province) Computation of Buffer Stocks	Target not met.
		· · ·	Sub-total	15%				0%		0%		50000

Annex A

N F A |Page 2 of 8 Validation Result of 2018 Performance Scorecard (Annex A)

×		a a a a tatat a se	Compone	nt			NFA Submi	ssion	GCG Valida	ation	Supporting	
	Object	ive/Measure	Formula	Weight	Rating Scale	Target	Actual	Rating	Actual	Rating	Documents	GCG Remarks
	SO 2	Availability, Acco	essibility, Aff	ordability	and Food Sat	ety				en e		
ERS	SM 2	Average Farmgate Price in Surplus Provinces	Farmgate Price (P /kg)	10%	(Actual / Target) x Weight 0% = if less than ₽15.30/kg	₽17.00/kg	₽21.12/kg	10%	₽20.39/kg	10%	Provincial and Regional Report on the Average Farmgate Price of Palay Farm, Wholesale and Retail Prices of Palay, Rice and Corn Report PSA website data	NFA exceeded the target. The GCG-validated accomplishment was based on the data gathered from the PSA website.
STAKEHOLDERS	SM 3	Consumable	% Total Stocks in Safe & Consumable Condition / Total Stocks Stored	10%	99% - 100% = 10% 98% - 98.99% = 7% 97% - 97.99% = 5% 96% - 96.99% = 3% < 96% = 0	100%	99.83%	10%	99.83%	10%	National Quality Assessment Report (QUASAR) National Consolidated Report per Commodity per Stock Quality Percentage Performance Rating of Stocks per Region National Consolidated Report of Consurnable	Acceptable.

1.

•

31

N F A |Page 3 of 8 Validation Result of 2018 Performance Scorecard (Annex A)

		et e	 Component				NFA Subm	ission	GCG Valida	ition		
1 223 (* 2	Objec	tive/Measure	Formula	Weight	Rating Scale	Target	Actual	Rating	Actual	Rating	Supporting Documents	GCG Remarks
		×	24 126		2	5 (B) (B)			2 4		Stocks per Region per Commodity	
						-				8	Summary of Commodity per Region per Quality for Good and Consumable Stocks	
					ан 	ц. ц.				n K T	Consolidated Report on Non- Consumable (Sweepings and Damaged) Stocks per Cereal Type per Region	
	1.						5		, .		Summary of Non- Consumable (Sweepings and Damaged)	50- 1
						×	en P		а 		Volume of Assessed Stocks per Region per Commodity per Age (Good, Treated & Infested)	
	3 14	a									Monthly Grains Stock Quality Report	ž

<u>.</u>

.

1-

N F A |Page 4 of 8 Validation Result of 2018 Performance Scorecard (Annex A)

		Compone	nt			NFA Submi	ssion	GCG Valid	ation		
Objec	tive/Measure	Formula	Weight	Rating Scale	Target	Actual	Rating	Actual	Rating	Supporting Documents	GCG Remarks
SM 4	Number of Alternative Distribution Centers in 10 Priority Provinces	Absolute Number	10%	(Actual / Target) x Weight	+370	405	10%	405	10%	List of Alternative Distribution Centers submitted by Provincial Offices	Acceptable.
	i						-			Application for License/ Registration	
SO 3	Sustain Client Sa	tisfaction		an a							
SM 5	Percentage of Satisfied Customers	Number of respondents who gave a rating of at least Satisfactory / Total Number of Respondents	5%	(Actual / Target) x Weight 0% = if less than 80%	90% of respondents who gave a rating of least Satisfactory	Overall Fating of Respondents: Very Satisfied Farmors = 4.66 - Very Satisfied Retailers = 4.76 - Very Satisfied	5%	Result not acceptable	0%	Terminal Report as submitted by People Dynamics 2018 NFA Customer Satisfaction Survey Report Sample Accomplished Survey Forms Data Collection Reports on CSS as submitted by Provincial Managers	NFA's survey employed a disproportionate sampling method which is not consistent with the GCG Standard Guidelines. Part IV.3.e of the said guidelines require that for GOCCs with several types of customers, each type of customer should be represented. The sample size should be proportionate to the size of each customer type. Moreover, the respondent criteria prescribed under GCG Standard Methodology was not followed as NFA required respondents to have at least one transaction for the years 2016 to 2017.

82

NFA Page 5 of 8

Validation Result of 2018 Performance Scorecard (Annex A)

•••	66 (860)) 1	್ ನಿಲ್ ೧೯೯೯ ಕ್ಷೇತ್ರ ನ ನಿನ್ನೆ ಸೆನ್ ಸೆನ್ ಸೆನ್ ವಿಜ್ಯಾಕ ನ ನಿನ್ನ ಸೆನ್ ಸೆನ್ ಸೆ	Compone	nt			NFA Submis	ssion	GCG Valida	tion	Supporting	
	Object	ive/Measure	Formula	Weight	Rating Scale	Target	Actual	Rating	Actual	Rating	Documents	GCG Remarks
5	SM 6	Number of Provinces for the Implementation of the Registration and Licensing Information System (RLIS)	Number of Provinces / Target	10%	(Actual / Target) x Weight	+ 41 Provincial Offices	Completed the installation, training and implementation of the RLIS in 41 NFA Provinci al Offices	10%	+41 Provincial Offices	10%	NFA Branches emails to NFA Head Office transmitting the reports generated form the RLIS Sample reports generated using the RLIS	Acceptable.
	2		Sub-total	45%			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	45%		40%		
	SO 4	Improve Collection	n Efficiency									
	SM 7	Collection of Trade Accounts Receivables	Amount of Collection from Trade Accounts Receivables / Total Trade Accounts Receivables	10%	(Actual / Target) x Weight 0% = if less than 90%	100% of Trade Accounts Receivables Aged 3 Years and Below ¹	94.6t %	9.47%	94.66%	9.47%	Summary and Detailed Report on Accounts Receivables collection with official receipt numbers Copy of some official receipts for the sampling	Acceptable.

¹ Per NFA letter dated 05 April 2018, the Trade Accounts Receivables Aged 3 years and Below total 3 to ₽56,607,864.39.

1.

N F A |Page 6 of 8 Validation Result of 2018 Performance Scorecard (Annex A)

••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••	Objective/Measure SO 5 Divestment of f		Compone	nt			NFA Submi	ssion	GCG Valida	ation		
• • •- *!!	Objec	ive/Measure	Formula	Weight	Rating Scale	Target	Actual	Rating	Actual	Rating	Supporting Documents	GCG Remarks
	SO 5	Divestment of No	n-Performing	Assets								
	SM 8	Divestment of Assets Approved for Disposition by the NFA Council	Actual Accomplish- ment	10%	5% = Conduct of bidding or public auction 10% = Divestment or disposal of the asset	Divestment of any one of (1) part of General Santos City or (2) Calintaan, Occidental Mindoro for divestment	Complete Staff Work for Calintaan Property finalized and submitted to ARP Chairperson; Divestment of General Santos Property for approval of the NFA Council	4%	Any one of (1) part of General Santos City or (2) Calintaan, Occidental Mindoro for divestment not divested	0%	Memorandum of the NFA Deputy Administrators and Assistant Administrators to the NFA Administrator regarding the proposed divestment of the 1,136 sq.m. of NFA Lot in población, Calintaan, Occidental Mindoro Minutes of Special Meeting of the NFA Council	The submitted supporting documents do not show that there was a bidding, public auction or divestment made for the subject properties.
	SO 6	Debt Managemen	r - Carlos									
	SM 9	Managed Debt Level	Debt Level Equal to or Lower than the Projected Level by End of a Given Year	10%	 ₽130 Billion and below = 10% ₽131 Billion to ₽135 Billion = 5% Above ₽135 Billion = 0% 	₽130 Billion	₽102. 59 Billion	10%	₽102.59 Billion	10%	2018 Unaudited Financial Statements as submitted to COA	Acceptable.
			Sub-total	30%				23.47%		19.47%		8

N

N F A |Page 7 of 8 Validation Result of 2018 Performance Scorecard (Annex A)

······			Compone	nt			NFA Submi	ssion	GCG Valida	ation		
· ·	Object	live/Measure	Formula	Weight	Rating Scale	Target	Actual	Rating	Actual	Rating	Supporting Documents	GCG Remarks
	SO 7	Establish Quality	y Managemen	t System	(QMS)		ng vine ng sing sing sing sing sing sing sing si					an a
LEARNING AND GROWTH	SM 10	Implement Quality Management System	Actual Accomplish ment	5%	5% = ISO Certification for NCR- CDO, FDC, and Region III (Cabanatuan City) under 9001:2015 standards; and Passing of Surveillance Audit for Tarlac Provincial Office 2.5% = ISO Certification for NCR-CDO and FDC under 9001:2015 standards; Passing of Surveillance Audit for Tarlac Provincial Office; and Readiness Assessment for Region III (Cabanatuan City)		NCR-CDO – Bidding for Pre- ISO Certification Consultant withheld pending developments of R.A. 11203 and its IRR. FDC – with Certificate of Registration Region III (Cabanatuan City) – Conduct of QMS Internal Audit completed (Readiness Assessment Certificate requested from the consultant) Tarlac Provincial Office – With Certificate of Attestation	2.5%	ISO 9001:2015 Certification for FDC and Tarlac Provincial Office	0%	Certificate of Registration issued by Certification International Attestation issued by AJA Registrars, Jnc. Minutes of Management Review Meeting	Target not met. NCR-CDO failed to obtain ISO 9001:2015 Certification. No ISO 9001:2015 Certificate of Readiness Certificate of Readiness Certificate issued to Region III (Cabanatuan City) by a third-party consultant.

1-

N F A Page 8 of 8

Validation Result of 2018 Performance Scorecard (Annex A)

	kan an a	Component				NFA Submi	ssion	GCG Valida	ation	Cunnosting	
Objecti	ve/Measure	Formula	Weight	Rating Scale	Target	Actual	Rating	Actual	Rating	Supporting Documents	
SO 8	Competency Fran	nework						an a	an Rational Angle		
SM 11	Percentage of Employees Meeting Required Competencies	Number of positions assessed / Total number of positions	5%	(Actual / Target) x Weight	Establish Competency Baseline Covering 50% of positions per organization al level ²	Competency Baseline covering 50% of positions per organizational Level established	5%	Competency Baseline result not acceptable No Competency Integration No Updated HR Policies	0%	2018 Competency Baseline Competency Assessment Forms (Self and Supervisor)	The competency gap per position we presented as the variance between the supervisor assessme and the self-assessmer lt shall be note however, the competency gap defined as the difference between the current competency level of the employees and the required competence level. Moreover, NF failed to subme Competency Integration and Updated HR Policies which are part of the implementation of the competency model.
	Sub-total		10%	*		42	7.5%		0%		
			100%				75.97%		59.47%		

1-

² Involves the Competency Assessment and the implementation (i.e. Assessment Tools/Processes, Competency integration and Updated HR Policies). Covering positions for each of the organizational levels: a) Senior Management; b) Middle Management c) Professional and Supervisory; and d) Clerical General Staff